

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,493	CARRIER, GORDON EUGENE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Ms. Briana K. O'Regan.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21-31 and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that in claim 31, line 3, the limitation of "the long axis" does not have antecedent basis. Further, Examiner Staicovici indicated that claims 21-30 are drawn to a non-elected invention. Ms. Briana K. O'Regan agreed to cancel non-elected claims 21-30 and to amend claims 31 and 33 in order to provide clear and supported claim language to define the claims over the art of record (see Examiner's amendment).